

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,804	04/20/2004		Gregory Springler	10541-1971 5061	
29074	7590	01/03/2006	EXAMINER		
VISTEON C/O BRINK		R GILSON & LIONE	KRAMER, DEVON C		
PO BOX 10	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 6061	0	3683		

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20051223				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		vived.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been rece					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		cation No.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	•	· / · / · /				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-	• •				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
Application Papers						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 4-7 9 11-20</u> is/are rejected.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,8 and 10 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withdrawn from consideration.					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
Disposition of Claims						
	Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 U.G. 213.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	, <u> </u>					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 l						
Status						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to te, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. ie timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI	VIQ QET TO EVOIDE 2 MONT					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		1				
·	Devon C. Kramer	Art Unit				
Office Action Summary	10/828,804 Examiner	SPRINGLER ET AL.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 1, 4-7, 9 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brockenbrough et al (4852704) in view of McCollough et al (6604735).

In re claim 1 and 13, Brockenbrough et al provides an energy-absorbing padding for use in a motor vehicle comprising: a first base layer (16) having a first face, a second face, and a plurality of integrally-formed hollow, first elements projecting from the first face of the first base layer, each first element defining a convex impact surface disposed a first distance from the first face of the first base layer; a second base layer (14) having a first face, a second face, and a plurality of integrally-formed, hollow second elements projecting from the first face of the second base layer, each second element defining a convex impact surface disposed a second distance from the first face of the second base layer; wherein the first base layer is laminated with the second base layer with the second face of the first base layer in opposition with a selected one of a group consisting of the first face of the second base layer and the second face of the second base layer, and the second distance is substantially different from the first

dimension. Please note that Brockenbrough et al lacks the planar base layers as claimed.

McCollough teaches planar base layers (figure 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the energy absorber of Brockenbrough with a planar base layer as taught by McCollough merely to provide a smaller impact absorber to provide that absorber with a mounting surface. Please note that one section of Brockenbrough is still and energy absorber, and the examiner is taking that section and placing planar mounting surfaces on the portions extending near element 23 in Brockenbrough.

In re claims 4, 6, 11-12, 14, 16, and 19-20, see figure 2.

In re claims 5 and 15, see 48 and column 4 lines 64-69.

IN re claim 7, 9 and 17-18, Brockenbrough et al teaches an arrangement where the first major axis of one first element is generally collinear with the second major axis of one of one second element.

Response to Arguments

- 3) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571)272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Devon C Kramer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683

PATENT EXAMINATION 12/23/00

DK